

ORIGINAL PAPER

Exploring Japanese stock market volatility using symmetric and asymmetric GARCH models: A case study

Shahil Raza¹⁾, Aman Shreevastava²⁾, Bharat Kumar Meher³⁾, Ramona Birau⁴⁾, Stefan Margaritescu⁵⁾, Gabriela Ana Maria Lupu (Filip)⁶⁾, Mircea Laurentiu Simion⁷⁾

Abstract:

The main aim of this research paper is to investigate Japanese stock market volatility using symmetric and asymmetric GARCH models. The Nikkei 225 index is the Tokyo Stock Exchange index of 225 publicly owned liquid companies across industries, and the index is calculated using price-weighted-average method. It has been very effective in representing the market sentiment and macroeconomic condition of Japanese Stock market, in this context due to lack of related studies it becomes imperative to study the volatility of the Index. For this purpose, the following models, such as: GARCH, I-GARCH, T-GARCH, E-GARCH, P-GARCH, AP-GARCH (APARCH) were tested across Normal Distribution, Student's T Distribution, Generalized Error Distribution, Parametric T distribution and Parametric Generalized Error Distribution. Based on AIC, SIC and Log Likelihood APARCH at Generalized Error Distribution was chosen. The model displayed strong forecasting capability and from the analysis volatility clustering and asymmetry was evident. It was also identified that the index is sensitive to the leverage effect.

¹⁾Department of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, Uttar Pradesh, India, Postal Code-202002, Email: gi1793@myamu.ac.in

²⁾ PG Department of Commerce& Management, Purnea University, Purnia, Bihar, India, Postal Code-854301, Email: amanshreevastava1998@gmail.com

³⁾ PG Department of Commerce& Management, Purnea University, Purnia, Bihar, India, Postal Code-854301, Email: bharatraja008@gmail.com

⁴⁾ University of Craiova, "Eugeniu Carada" Doctoral School of Economic Sciences, Craiova, Romania, Email: ramona.f.birau@gmail.com

⁵⁾ University of Craiova, "Eugeniu Carada" Doctoral School of Economic Sciences, Craiova, Romania, Email: stefanitamargaritescu@gmail.com

⁶) University of Craiova, "Eugeniu Carada" Doctoral School of Economic Sciences, Craiova, Romania, Email: Lupuanamariagabriela@yahoo.com

⁷⁾ University of Craiova, "Eugeniu Carada" Doctoral School of Economic Sciences, Romania, Email: simionmircealaurentiu@gmail.com

Keywords: asymmetry, GARCH models, conditional variance, Nikkei 225 index, volatility, Tokyo Stock Exchange, leverage effect, stock market

JEL Classification: C22, C58, G1, G12, G15

Introduction

Volatility is one of the most important factors that is considered while reviewing any time series for any purpose. In the context of financial time series, volatility holds various connotations not only related to plain statistics, but every movement has deep impacts both intrinsically and extrinsically, and holds the attention of not only investors but also academicians and policymakers at large. In this context, stock exchange indices are the indicator of the overall market and are sensitive to even small changes in the macroeconomic context.

One of the major stock exchanges in the world is the Tokyo Stock Exchange (TSE) and which is among the top 5 stock exchanges based on market capitalization. Very little attention has been paid by the academic community to the Japanese stock market and its other possibilities (Kato & Schallheim, 1985). The clearing facilities at Tokyo stock exchange is noisy and inefficient (Amihud & Mendelson, 1991). A sentiment analysis done using positive and negative affirmations in the Wall Street Journal and the NIKKEI index helped in predicting prices 3 days in advance. (Ishijima et al., 2015). The Exchange operates in 2 sessions, 9:00 AM to 11:30 AM and 12:30 PM to 3:30 PM.

NIKKEI 225 is a price-weighted average index of 225 highly capitalised and liquid, publicly-owned companies across various industries, operating in Japanese Yen. An excellent and curious investigation has been made, where it has been noted that some stocks in the index is overweighed by a factor of 10 or more, and co-movement with stocks within the index has positive correlation and has a negative correlated co-movement with stocks outside the index. (Greenwood, 2008). NIKKEI 225 ETFs occupy almost 2% of NIKKEI 225 stocks, and due to macroeconomic trends, the deviations are observed (Hanaeda & Serita, 2017). This selected stock market index seems to be an effective indicator for the market sentiment and macroeconomic variables in Japanese stock market.

Literature review

Over time, a variety of empirical studies focused on the stock markets behavior have been consolidated in the specialized literature using GARCH family models, such as the following: Kumar et al. (2023a), Spulbar et al. (2023), Meher et al. (2024), Birau et al. (2021), Trivedi et al. (2021), Kumar et al. (2023b), Birau and Trivedi (2013) and many others.

Birau et al. (2014) have investigated the dynamics of the emerging stock market in India for the sample period from January 2002 to June 2014 based on GARCH (1,1) model. Moreover, Spulbar et al. (2022) have conducted an empirical research study in order to examine the behavior of the developed stock market in Japan, considering the daily prices of NIKKEI 225 stock index. The econometric framework was based on GARCH models, such as GARCH (1,1), EGARCH (1,1) and GJR (1,1) models and the sampled period was very long, from July 1998 to January 2022, but consisting of daily observations.

Nakayama and Yokouchi (2025) investigated the complex behavior of the developed stock market in Japan considering the impact of the news. Marumo and Li (2024) conducted an empirical study on the behavioral dynamics of stock markets in Japan and Australia under the influence of risk, based on ASX 200 and Nikkei 225 stock indices, using expectile regression model. Moreover, in the literature there is a wide variety of empirical studies that comparatively analyze the behavior of certain stock markets, such as: Trivedi and Birau (2013a), Birau et al. (2023), Trivedi and Birau (2013b), Siminica and Birau (2014).

In this context, not only the price movement but also the deviance from the mean value becomes important for a greater understanding of investment, economy, and policylevel purposes. Noting the volatility of an index or any financial time series is not exclusive to statistical areas but has wider implications holistically. Due to this very reason, anyone interested in Japan in terms of an economic point of view, NIKKEI 225 stock index should be their first source of enquiry. Understanding the volatility of this index thus becomes very imperative. There are several approaches to calculating the volatility and one of the most advanced and precise tools available in econometric terms is understanding Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity in Autoregressive (AR) and Moving Average (MA) terms. One of the latest models in that certain context is the GARCH family models, each model having the capability to calculate conditional volatility along with slight modifications based on distribution and Asymmetric configurations. One of the recent improvements in financial time series analysis is being used in terms of Machine Learning models using Neural Networks, however, its utility is more towards forecasting and not volatility analysis. In one of the studies 71 parameters were utilised and using 18 variables ANN architecture was effective in predicting the NIKKEI 225 prices (Qiu et al., 2016).

Research Methodology

The application of data is based on secondary data, and the data are quantitative in nature. This work analyses various methods to evaluate the quantitative impact of NIKKEI 225, the Tokyo Exchange stock. The volatility analysis is observed for 1334 days from 07/10/2019 to 21/03/2025. Log return had been calculated to make the data stationary. Volatility clustering had been spotted in data that leads to applying the ARCH LM test for heteroscedasticity in the return series residuals. Volatility has been proved by using different GARCH family models employing different distributions, such as the Normal (Gaussian), Student's t, and Generalised Error Distribution (GED), both with and without pre-specified parameters. The selection of the most suitable GARCH model for analysis is based on the values of AIC, SC, and Log Likelihood. The software package used for financial econometric analysis is EViews 12.

Significance of the study

The research delivers societal value through a more comprehensive insight into the dynamics of financial markets. GARCH models are applied to observe the volatility of the NIKKEI 225 index. A thorough understanding of market volatility is essential for investors, financial institutions, and government bodies, as it helps them to mitigate risks, improve their decisions and create better, more effective strategies. Existing literature is scarce on the subject, and no study so far has explored the individual analysis of NIKKEI 225. The study has high significance not only for the investors but also equally important for corporations to understand index dynamics, as they are influenced by both internal

factors and external environmental conditions.

Limitations of the study

The study offers a detailed volatility analysis using daily data and focuses on conditional return variance, simplifying real-world complexities and creating a generalised framework that's more theoretical than practical, and it might not fully answer all relevant questions.

- Limited availability of data: Data was extracted from the Tokyo stock exchange database, which is freely available. Lack of financial backing meant this study had to take a general approach, unable to delve into the details of micro-level variations.
- Generalisation of result: Despite its flexibility and ability to cover several GARCH models, APARCH model struggles to capture the subjective nature in complex real-world scenarios.
- Model sensitivity to varied situations: APARCH model is great for understanding volatility on a theoretical level, accounting for various factors like leverage and decay effects, and volatility clustering. However, it might struggle to uncover the subtler, unique drivers of a particular situation.

Acknowledging the unique strengths and weaknesses of both the APARCH and GARCH models, we'll now move forward with the analysis and estimation in the research.

Empirical analysis, estimation, and results

For a better understanding of price movement, we look at the price movement visually. Below is the graphical representation of actual prices.



NIKKEI 225 Index closing price

Source: author's Computation using EViews 12

The above graphical representation is of the NIKKEI 225 index price over a given time period, showing a clear overall downward trajectory. Index begins at a high level, above 36000 and rises briefly above 40000 and then drops sharply. The early phase of the graph reflects significant market volatility, with fluctuation indicating the period of instability. After the initial fall, the index continues to decline slowly, with small ups and downs, interspersed with minor recoveries. This phase suggests market stabilisation, but fails as the overall market movement remains downward. Around the midpoint of the graph, the index fluctuates between 26000 and 28000, which shows a sign of unification. In the later stages, the declines become steeper once again, and the index falls below 20000 before a slight recovery at the end. The pattern shows how the Japanese stock market dropped a lot after a big rise and took a long time to recover.

To make returns stationary, the log return has been calculated, and the below is the graphical representation of the log return. As discussed earlier that there are fluctuations over the period of time.



NIKKEI 225 Index log returns

Figure 2: Log Returns Graph Source: author's Computation using EViews 12

The visual pattern hints at possible heteroscedasticity. It is crucial to first verify the stationarity of the data.

Test of stationarity

| Null Hypothesis: NIKKEI_225_INDEX_LOG_RETURNS has a unit root Exogenous: Constant Lag Length: 0 (Automatic - based on SIC_maxlag=22) | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-------------|--------|--|--|
| | | t-Statistic | Prob.* | | |
| Augmented Dickey-Fuller test statis | stic | -36.98114 | 0.0000 | | |
| Test critical values: | 1% level | -3.435049 | | | |
| | 5% level | -2.863502 | | | |
| | 10% level | -2.567864 | | | |

| | *MacKinnon (1996) one-sided p-values. |
|----|---|
| Т | able 1 Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test |
| Sc | ource: Author's computation using EViews 12 |

The above test is an Augmented Dickey-Fuller test, and it can be observed that the probability value is less than 0.05. Consequently, we reject the Null hypothesis test, and the given data has no unit root and is stationary.

500 Series: NIKKEI_225_INDEX_LOG_RET Sample 1 1334 400 Observations 1333 Mean -0.000425 300 Median -0.000862 Maximum 0.132341 200 Minimum -0.097366 Std. Dev. 0.013401 Skewness 0.528228 100 Kurtosis 14.11304 0 Jarque-Bera 6921.371 -0.10 -0.05 0.00 0.05 0.10 Probability 0.000000

Descriptive Statistics

1

Figure 3: Test Distribution Analysis Source: Author's computation using EViews 12

The above statistics show that the average log return is scarcely negative. The central value is approximately close to zero, suggesting a nearly symmetric distribution. The standard deviation is very low, which signifies that most of the datasets are close to the mean value and less deviated. A high kurtosis value shows a leptokurtic distribution and slight positive skewness, which indicates extreme values as compared to a normal distribution. To analyse further, we need to check the ARCH effect on the given dataset through the ARCH LM Test.

Heteroscedasticity Test

| Heteroskedasticity Test: ARCH | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------|---------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| F-statistic | 474.9144 | Prob. F(1,1329) | $0.0000 \\ 0.0000$ | | |
| Obs*R-squared | 350.4108 | Prob. Chi-Square(1) | | | |

Table 2. ARCH effect test

Source: Author's computation using EViews 12

From the above table, it can be observed that the ARCH test result shows the p-value (0.0000) is strong substantiation of the ARCH effect in the given dataset, suggesting that the data exhibits volatility clustering.

| | | GARCH | IGARCH | TARCH | EGARCH | PARCH | APARCH |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|------------|------------|------------|
| | Akaike info criterion | -5.987515 | -5.94637 | -6.01175 | -5.99922 | -5.98728 | -6.01263 |
| | Schwarz criterion | -5.968017 | -5.934671 | -5.98835 | -5.97582 | -5.96388 | -5.98533 |
| | Log Likelihood | 3992.685 | 3963.283 | 4009.826 | 4001.48100 | 3993.52600 | 4011.409 |
| | ARCH significant | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| | Autocorrelation | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| | ARCH LM-Test | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| | GARCH significant | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Normal Distribut ion | significant coefficient | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| | Akaike info criterion | -6.022177 | -5.95762 | -6.03515 | -6.02919 | -6.02067 | -6.03387 |
| | Schwarz criterion | -5.998779 | -5.94794 | -6.00785 | -6.00189 | -5.99337 | -6.00268 |
| | Log Likelihood | 4016.77 | 3967.835 | 4026.41 | 4022.44 | 4016.764 | 4026.56000 |
| | ARCH significant | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| | Autocorrelation | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| | ARCH LM-Test | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| | GARCH significant | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Student's T | significant coefficient | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| | Akaike info criterion | -6.024738 | -5.97943 | -6.03931 | -6.03251 | -6.02335 | -6.038316 |
| | Schwarz criterion | -6.001339 | -5.96137 | -6.01201 | -6.00521 | -5.99605 | -6.007118 |
| | Log Likelihood | 4018.475 | 3987.32 | 4029.179 | 4024.653 | 4018.548 | 4029.519 |
| | ARCH significant | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| | Autocorrelation | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| | ARCH LM-Test | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| | GARCH significant | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Generali zed Error | significant coefficient | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| | Akaike info criterion | -6.022152 | -5.988011 | -6.03610 | -6.02915 | -6.02070 | -6.03496 |
| | Schwarz, criterion | -6.002654 | -5.97631 | -6.01270 | -6.00575 | -5.99730 | -6.00766 |
| | Log likelihood | 4015.754 | 3991.015 | 4026.044 | 4021.413 | 4015.788 | 4026.28300 |
| | ARCH significant | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| | Autocorrelation | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| | ARCH LM-Test | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| T distributi | GARCH significant | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| on (Paramet er) | significant coefficient | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Generali | Akaike info criterion | -6.024042 | -5.992784 | -6.04004 | -6.03236 | -6.03922 | -6.03922 |
| sed Error | Schwarz criterion | -6.004543 | -5.981084 | -6.01664 | -6.00896 | -6.01192 | -6.01192 |

| (Paramet er) | Log Likelihood | 4017.012 | 3994.194 | 4028.667 | 4023.554 | 4029.117 | 4029.117 |
|-----------------|-------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| - / | ARCH significant | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| | Autocorrelation | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| | ARCH LM-Test | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| | GARCH significant | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| | significant coefficient | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |

Table 3: Decision Table

Source: Author's tabulation using MS Office

Implementing GARCH, IGARCH, TARCH, EGARCH, APARCH, and PARCH among Gaussian Normal Distribution, Student's t distribution, Generalised Error distribution(GED), t distribution, and GED with fixed parameter, from the above table, it can be concluded that APARCH model generalised error distribution is the most suitable model due lowest Akaike info criterion (-6.038316), the lowest Schwarz criterion (-6.007118) and the highest Log likelihood (4029.519).

| Dependent Variable: NIKKE | 225_INDEX_I | .OG_RETURNS | | | |
|---|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------|-----------|--|
| Method: ML ARCH - Generalised error distribution (GED) (Marquardt / | | | | | |
| EViews legacy) | | | | | |
| Date: 05/22/25 Time: 23:01 | | | | | |
| Sample (adjusted): 2 1333 | | | | | |
| Included observations: 1332 a | ifter adjustments | | | | |
| Convergence achieved after 2 | 6 iterations | _ | | | |
| Presample variance: backcast | (parameter = 0.7) | /) | | | |
| $@$ SQRT(GARCH)^C(7) = C(| (3) + C(4)*(ABS) | (RESID(-1)) - C(5) * RES | SID(| | |
| $-1))^{C(7)} + C(6)^{(6)} QR$ | (GARCH(-1)) | ^C(7) | | | |
| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | z-Statistic | Prob. | |
| C NIKKEL 225 INDEX I | -0.001017 | 0.000297 | -3.427512 | 0.0006 | |
| OG_RETURNS(-1) | -0.018951 | 0.027380 | -0.692147 | 0.4888 | |
| | Variance | Equation | | | |
| C(3) | 1.18E-06 | 3.27E-06 | 0.361834 | 0.7175 | |
| C(4) | 0.054931 | 0.046643 | 1.177695 | 0.2389 | |
| C(5) | 0.620136 | 0.451933 | 1.372185 | 0.1700 | |
| C(6) | 0.813824 | 0.047169 | 17.25336 | 0.0000 | |
| C(7) | 2.530963 | 0.648632 | 3.902002 | 0.0001 | |
| GED PARAMETER | 1.426157 | 0.073738 | 19.34081 | 0.0000 | |
| R-squared | -0.001710 | Mean dependent var | | -0.000427 | |
| Adjusted R-squared | -0.002463 | S.D. dependent var | | 0.013406 | |
| S.E. of regression | 0.013422 | Akaike info criterion | | -6.038316 | |
| Sum squared resid | 0.239608 | Schwarz criterion | | -6.007118 | |

| Log likelihood | 4029.519 | Hannan-Quinn criter. | -6.026625 |
|--------------------|----------|----------------------|-----------|
| Durbin-Watson stat | 1.984487 | | |

Table 4 APARCH(1,1) generalised error distributionSource: Author's computation using EViews 12

From the above APARCH(1,1) model, it can be concluded that C6 has strong volatility clustering, which also states that past volatility influences future volatility. C4 and C5 are not statistically significant, the overall model effectively captures the volatility clustering. The GED Parameter is also highly significant. Durbin-Waston stat (1.984487), which indicates there is no major autocorrelation in residuals. Model diagnostics also include Akaike info criterion(-6.038316), Schwarz criterion (-6.007118) and Log likelihood(4029.519), suggesting a well-fitting model.There is a chance of volatility clustering and the leverage effect. The result also emphasises market risks, which is important for investors and risk managers.



Figure 4. Graphical representation of estimated volatility Source: author's Computation using EViews 12



| Forecast: NIKKEI_225F | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Actual: NIKKEI_225_INDEX_LOG_RETURNS | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 0.013412 | | | | |
| 0.009425 | | | | |
| NA | | | | |
| Theil Inequality Coef. 0.928309 | | | | |
| 0.001883 | | | | |
| 0.960821 | | | | |
| 0.037297 | | | | |
| NA | | | | |
| 165.1441 | | | | |
| | | | | |

Figure 5: Graphical representation of Forecast of Prices, Returns, and Volatility Source: author's Computation using EViews 12

From the above pictures, it can be stated that the residuals are centred around zero, indicating there is no significant bias, and fitted values closely track actual returns. The difference between the actual value and the predicted value is small, and errors are close to zero. Spikes in the forecast variance graph match the period of high market movement, which means the model successfully captures uncertainty and or increased risk. The model demonstrates strong forecasting capacity and appropriate for analysing and predicting market volatility of returns.



Figure 6: Gradients of the objective function Source: author's Computation using EViews 12

The above graph shows the gradients of the objective functions from the coefficients C1-C8 in the model. Gradients help to assess how sensitive the objective functions. The gradients are fairly stable and fluctuate around zero, indicating that the model parameters were estimated efficiently and the optimization process was smooth. Parameters C3 and C6 show occasional spikes, which indicate that there are temporary effects due to market volatility. C3 is associated with the variance constant, which shows higher volatility. C8 remains close to zero, implying it was estimated with greater precision and had less impact on instability.

Conclusions and recommendations

A study comparing GARCH, TGARCH, IGARCH, EGARCH, PARCH, and APARCH models across six different distributions founds that the APARCH model with generalised error is the fittest model for the analysis of NIKKEI 225 index, and it was concluded that there was asymmetric, volatile clustering, leverage effect and volatility. Although APARCH model is a fine model, it fails to incorporate other finer details caused by the other variables, resulting in the generalisation of calculated results. For the academic community, it is essential to do an in-depth analysis of other finer details to avoid the generalisation of results. For this, various models are used, such as ML, AI, VAR, COPULA, etc., which are multivariate and show the larger picture that benefits society, investors and government for better policy formulation.

References:

- Amihud, Y., & Mendelson, H. (1991). Volatility, Efficiency, and Trading: Evidence from the Japanese Stock Market. *The Journal of Finance*, 46(5), 1765–1789. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.1991.tb04643.x.
- Birau, R., Trivedi, J., (2013) Estimating Emerging Stock Market Volatility Using Garch Family Models, Indian Journal of Applied Research (IJAR), 3(9), pg.331-333, ISSN - 2249-555X.
- Birau, R., Spulbar, C., Trivedi, J., & Florescu, I. (2021) Modeling volatility in the stock markets of Spain and Hong Kong using GARCH family models in the context of COVID - 19 pandemic, Revista de Științe Politice. Revue des Sciences Politiques, 72, 3 – 21.
- Birau, R., Spulbar, C., Kumar Kepulaje, A., Simion, M.L., & Florescu, I. (2023) Investigating long-term causal linkages and volatility patterns: A comparative empirical study between the developed stock markets from USA and Netherland, Revista de Științe Politice. Revue des Sciences Politiques, No. 77, 80 – 87.
- Birau, R., Trivedi, J., Antonescu, M. (2014) Modeling S&P Bombay Stock Exchange BANKEX Index Volatility Patterns Using GARCH Model, International Conference Emerging Markets Queries in Finance and Business EMQFB, Third Edition, 29 - 31 October 2014, Bucharest, Romania, published in Procedia -Economics and Finance, Elsevier, Volume 32, pp. 520-525, https://doi.org/10.1016/S2212-5671(15)01427-6.

- Greenwood, R. (2008). Excess comovement of stock returns: Evidence from crosssectional variation in Nikkei 225 weights. *Review of Financial Studies*, 21(3), 1153–1186. https://doi.org/10. 1093/rfs/hhm052
- Hanaeda, H., Serita, T. (2017). Effects of Nikkei 225 ETFs on stock markets: Impacts of purchases by Bank of Japan 1 1 1 1. https://ssrn.com/abstract=3019769
- Ishijima, H., Kazumi, T., & Maeda, A. (2015). Sentiment analysis for the Japanese stock market. Global Business and Economics Review, 17(3), 237. https://doi.org/10.1504/GBER. 2015.070303
- Kato, K., Schallheim, J. S. (1985). Seasonal and Size Anomalies in the Japanese Stock Market. *The Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, 20(2), 243. https://doi.org/10.2307 /2330958
- Kumar, S., Abhishek, A., Birau, R., Meher, B.K., Kumar, S., & Florescu, I. (2023a) Temporal Analysis of Mexico Stock Market Index Volatility using GJR-GARCH model, Revista de Științe Politice. Revue des Sciences Politiques, No. 79(2023), 46-56.
- Kumar, S., Meher, B.K., Birau, R., Simion, M.L., Florescu, I., Abhishek, A., Kumar, S. (2023b) Long-term volatility forecasting of Brazilian stock index behavior using suitable GARCH family models, Revista de Științe Politice. Revue des Sciences Politiques, No. 79(2023), 9-24, https://cis01.ucv.ro/revistadestiintepolitice/files/numarul 79 2023/1.pdf.
- Marumo, K., Li, S. (2024). Downside Risk in Australian and Japanese Stock Markets: Evidence Based on the Expectile Regression. Journal of Risk and Financial Management, 17(5), 189. https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm17050189.
- Meher, B.K., Kumari, P., Birau, R., Spulbar, C., Anand, A., & Florescu, I. (2024) Forecasting Volatility Spillovers Using Advanced GARCH Models: Empirical Evidence for Developed Stock Markets from Austria and USA, Annals of "Dunarea de Jos" University of Galati, Fascicle I. Economics and Applied Informatics, pg.16-29, Years XXX – no1/2024, ISSN-L 1584-0409, ISSN-Online 2344-441X, DOI https://doi.org/10.35219 /eai15840409383.
- Nakayama, J., Yokouchi, D. (2025). Relationship Between Japanese Stock Market Behavior and Category-Based News. Risks, 13(3), 50. https://doi.org/10.3390/risks13030050.
- Qiu, M., Song, Y., & Akagi, F. (2016). Application of artificial neural network for the prediction of stock market returns: The case of the Japanese stock market. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 85, 1–7,* https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2016.01.004.
- Siminica, M., Birau, R. (2014) Investigating International Causal Linkages Between Latin European Stock Markets In Terms Of Global Financial Crisis : A Case Study For Romania, Spain And Italy, International Journal of Business Quantitative Economics and Applied Management Research (IJBEMR), 1(1), pp.12-36, ISSN : 2349-5677.
- Spulbar, C., Birau, R., Trivedi, J., Iacob (Troto), A.I., Florescu, I., & Baid, R. (2023) Investigating volatility patterns for a cluster of developed stock markets including Austria, France, Germany and Spain by using GARCH models, Revista de Științe Politice. Revue des Sciences Politiques, No. 77, 41 – 48.
- Spulbar, C., Birau, R., Trivedi, J., Hawaldar, I.T., & Minea, E.L. (2022) Testing volatility spillovers using GARCH models in the Japanese stock market during COVID-

19, Investment Management and Financial Innovations, 19(1), 262-273. doi:10.21511/imfi.19(1).2022.20.

- Trivedi, J., Afjal, M., Spulbar, C., Birau, R., Inumula, K.M., & Pradhan, S. (2021) Modeling emerging stock market volatility using asymmetric GARCH family models: An empirical case study for BSE Ltd. (formerly known as Bombay Stock Exchange) of India, Revista de Științe Politice. Revue des Sciences Politiques, No. 70, 167 – 176.
- Trivedi, J., Birau, R. (2013a) Co-movements between emerging and developed stock markets in terms of global financial crisis, Proceedings of the 1st WSEAS International Conference on Mathematics, Statistics & Computer Engineering, Dubrovnik, Croatia, 25-27 June, ISBN: 978-960-474-305-6, pg.146-151.
- Trivedi, J., Birau, R., (2013b) Analysis of international contagion in emerging stock markets in terms of global financial crisis, Proceedings of the 2nd WSEAS International Conference on Finance, Accounting and Auditing, Brasov, Romania, ISBN: 978-1-61804-192-0, pg.120-125.

Article Info

Received: May 21 2025 *Accepted:* June 01 2025

How to cite this article:

Raza, S., Shreevastava, A., Meher, B. K., Birau, R., Margaritescu, S., Lupu (Filip), G. A. M., Simion, M. L. (2025). Exploring Japanese stock market volatility using symmetric and asymmetric GARCH models: A case study. *Revista de Științe Politice. Revue des Sciences Politiques*, no. 86, pp. 341 – 353.